

# Planning Team Report

Proposal Title ;	Planning proposa	l for rezoning	of 149 and 151 Larmer Av	enue, Sanctuary I	Point
Proposal Summa	Avenue, Sanctuar adjust the plannin	y Point from g controls ac	o rezone Lots 1519 and 152 R2 Low Density Residentia cordingly to ensure consis xisting business zone prov	al to B5 Business stency with the ad	Development and Ijoining service
PP Number :	PP_2015_SHOAL	_006_00	Dop File No :	15/12057	
oposal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Receiv	<b>21-Aug-2015</b> ed :		LGA covered :	Shoalhaven	
Region :	Southern		RPA :	Shoalhaven	City Council
State Electorate			Section of the Act :	55 - Planning	g Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning				
ocation Details	5				
Street :	149 Larmer Avenue				
Suburb :	Sanctuary Point	City:	Sanctuary Point	Postcode :	2540
Land Parcel :	Lot 1519 DP236518				
Street :	151 Larmer Avenue				
Suburb :	Sanctuary Point	City:	Sanctuary Point	Postcode :	2540
Land Parcel :	Lot 1520 DP236518				
DoP Planning	Officer Contact Detai	ls			
Contact Name :	Lisa Kennedy				
Contact Number	·: 0242249457				
Contact Email :	lisa.kennedy@plan	ning.nsw.gov	/.au		
RPA Contact [	Details				
Contact Name :	Jessica Volkanovs	ki			
Contact Number	0244293477				
Contact Email :	jessica.volkanovsk	i@shoalhave	n.nsw.gov.au		
DoP Project M	anager Contact Detai	-	-		
Contact Name :	Graham Towers				
Contact Number					
Contact Email :	graham.towers@pl				

# Land Release Data

Land Release Data				
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	South Coast Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes	
MDP Number :		Date of Release :		
Area of Release (Ha) :	0.10	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Employment Land	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0	
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0	
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	t Yes			
If No, comment :				
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No			
If Yes, comment :				
Supporting notes				
Internal Supporting Notes :				
External Supporting Notes :	The site is 1,114m2 in area and is residential dwelling has been bu service station, with vacant SP3 area of Sanctuary Point and to th land.	ilt over the two lots. To the n zoned blocks to the west. To	orth of the site is the existing the south is the residential	
12	The planning proposal has been better traffic management of the through a development applicati to Shoalhaven LEP 2014.	existing service station. This	s is proposed to be achieved	
Adequacy Assessme	nt			
	ojectives - s55(2)(a)			
Is a statement of the o	bjectives provided? Yes			
Comment :	Comment : The objective of the planning proposal is to rezone the subject site from R2 Low Density Residential to B5 Business Development to enable for a future extension and better traffic management of the existing service station.			
Explanation of prov	visions provided - s55(2)(b)			
Is an explanation of pr	ovisions provided? Yes			
Comment :		ns in the planning proposal ar	e to amend the Shoalhaven LEP	
	2014: * Land Zoning Map – Sheet La to B5 Business Development.		from R2 Low Density Residential	

	(500 m2) and referenc 4.1A.	t LSZ_020D applying to the site to remove the minimum lot size of I e to Clause 4.1A to no minimum lot size and no reference to Clause Map - Sheet HOB_020D applying to the site to apply a 8.5m height to height.
stification - s55 (	2)(c)	
) Has Council's strate	gy been agreed to by the Di	irector General? No
) S.117 directions ide		1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
	or General's agreement	<ul> <li>2.2 Coastal Protection</li> <li>3.1 Residential Zones</li> <li>3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport</li> <li>4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils</li> <li>4.3 Flood Prone Land</li> <li>4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection</li> <li>5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies</li> </ul>
Is the Director Gene	eral's agreement required?	Yes
) Consistent with Star	ndard Instrument (LEPs) Ore	der 2006 : <b>Yes</b>
l) Which SEPPs have	the RPA identified?	SEPP No 71—Coastal Protection
) List any other natters that need to e considered :	None	
lave inconsistencies v	vith items a), b) and d) being	g adequately justified? Yes
<sup>f</sup> No, explain :	The planning propo	sal is not a result of any strategic study or report.
	Regional Growth an	esistent with the South Coast Regional Strategy and draft Illawarra Ind Infrastructure Plan in that it is addressing employment and ment opportunities in the area.
		with the Jervis Bay Settlement Strategy, maintaining the range of variety of employment opportunities in the Sanctuary Point town
	Protection applies a	sal has identified that S117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire as the land is bushfire prone and that Council will consult with the ne NSW Rural Fire Service as part of the consultation process.
	Protection, Council and to confirm that	n accordance with the S117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire is to consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service notwithstanding any non-compliance with the provisions for re Protection, the Rural Fire Service does not object to the Planning Proposal.
	Zones, 2.2 Coastal I Implementation of F existing B5 Busines expansion of the ex zone, the proposal i	esal is consistent with the s117 Directions 1.1 Business and Industrial Protection, 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport and 5.1 Regional Strategies. The proposal is increasing the area of an as Development zone area in Sanctuary Point to allow for potential disting service station. Although the land is located in the coastal is not impacting upon sensitive coastal lands or their values.The LEP s to ensure that any development proposal must consider coastal
	is consistent with the	The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal he s117 Directions 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones, 2.2 Coastal grating Land Use and Transport and 5.1 Implementation of Regional

The planning proposal is inconsistent with the S117 Direction 3.1 Residential Zones, 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils and 4.3 Flood Prone Land. The land use of the two residential lots is being intensified via its rezoning from residential to business. The area to be rezoned is 1,114m2 and will have minimal impact on the total amount of housing stock in Sanctuary Point.

The Shoalhaven LEP 2014 includes provisions to mitigate any potential effects as a result of acid sulfate soils.

The site is mapped as flood prone - mainly low hazard flood fringe. It is zoned residential and contains a dwelling. Fill has already been placed on the land as part of the development of the lots for residential purposes. The LEP contains provisions in relation to development on flood prone land. Inconsistencies with the Direction are considered to be of minor significance.

Recommendation: The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the proposal's inconsistency with the S117 Directions 3.1 Residential Zones, 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils and 4.3 Flood Prone Land are of minor significance.

#### SEPPs

The planning proposal is consistent with SEPP71 Coastal Protection. Although the land is located in the coastal zone, the proposal is not impacting upon coastal lands or their values.

#### Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

The planning proposal includes maps of the proposed changes to Shoalhaven LEP that clearly demonstrate what is proposed. However these maps need to be prepared in accordance with the Department's 'Standard technical requirements for LEP maps'. The amended maps are to be incorporated into the planning proposal for public exhibition.

Recommendation: Council is to finalise draft maps in accordance with the Department's 'Standard technical requirements for LEP maps' prior to exhibition of the planning proposal.

#### Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

Council requests that the planning proposal be publicly exhibited for a minimum of 14 days. Public notification of the exhibition will include local newspaper notifications, notice on Council's website, hard copies will be available at Council's administration buildings.

Council and the proponent/landowner have already consulted with the adjoining neighbour at 153 Larmer Avenue Sanctuary Point, however neither Council nor the proponent has received a response.

#### Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

#### Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment : The planning proposal addresses the Department's "A guide to preparing planning proposals" and is considered adequate for a Gateway Determination with conditions.

Planning proposal for rezoning of 149 and 151 Larmer Avenue, Sanctuary Point Council has a project timeframe of four months to complete the rezoning process. The planning proposal identifies that Council is seeking Council Officer Delegation to prepare the draft LEP under Section 59 of the EP&A Act. Appendix 4 - Evaluation Criteria for the Delegation of Plan making Functions was submitted. It is considered appropriate that the delegation of plan making functions be given to Council due to the matter being a spot rezoning extending an area already zoned for business development and is of local significance. Recommendation: The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be six months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination to enable sufficient time for Council to finalise the proposal. Recommendation: Delegation to be provided to Council. **Proposal Assessment** Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in The planning proposal is an amendment to Shoalhaven LEP 2014 and has been prepared in relation to Principal accordance with the guidelines for preparing Standard Instruments eg zones, lot size maps LEP : and clauses.

#### **Assessment Criteria**

Need for planning proposal :	A planning proposal is the only means of achieving the business development zone on the site. Rezoning the land is Council's preferred option.
	An alternate option of retaining the current R2 Low Density Residential zoning and amending Schedule 1 Additional permitted uses to allow for a service station was considered. This option was not seen by Council as being appropriate as it is preferable to have zones reflect the intended land use.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	As previously identified, the planning proposal is consistent with the South Coast Regional Strategy, draft Illawarra Regional Growth and Infrastructure Plan and Jervis Bay Settlement Strategy in that it is addressing employment and economic development opportunities in the area.
	The proposal is consistent with Council's Community Strategic Plan in that it meets Objective 3.2 – An economy that supports and is supported by growing, diverse and changing communities, and Strategy 3.2.4 – Advocate for infrastructure improvements to support economic activity and investment.
Environmental social economic impacts :	The land has already been developed for residential purposes. Any potential environmental effects will need to be considered as part of the assessment of a development application. The planning proposal does not consider that there are any adverse effects on existing social infrastructure.
	The planning proposal identifies that the extension of the service station will meet the demands of future population growth as it is the only service station in the Sanctuary Point area. Should the potential extension not go ahead, other permitted uses of the proposed B5 zone will provide other economic development and employment growth opportunities.
	Rezoning the land to B5 Business Development rather than B2 Local Centre will restrict any potential economic competition with the existing shopping centre at Sanctuary Point.

### **Assessment Process**

Proposal type :	Minor		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	6 months	D	Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	NSW Rural Fire Ser	vice			
ls Public Hearing by th	ne PAC required?	No			
(2)(a) Should the matte	er proceed ?	Yes			
lf no, provide reasons	: Council is proposin	ig to consult wi	th the NSW Rural Fire Se	rvices.	
	Recommendation:	Council is to co	onsult with the NSW Rura	al Fire Services.	
Resubmission - s56(2)	)(b) : <b>No</b>				
If Yes, reasons :					
Identify any additional	studies, if required. :				
If Other, provide reaso	ons :				
Development Commit investigate the manag	ttee Report and Minutes gement of traffic into th	s. It recommented as well a	nent/landowner's planning nds that a traffic assessm is its effect on through tra y be more appropriate at t	ent be complete affic along Larm	ed to er Avenue.
Development Commit investigate the manage This study is required stage should a develo	ttee Report and Minutes gement of traffic into th	s. It recommen ne site as well a l issue and may n the land.	nds that a traffic assessm is its effect on through tra	ent be complete affic along Larm	ed to er Avenue.
Development Commit investigate the manag This study is required stage should a develo Recommendation: N	ttee Report and Minute gement of traffic into th d in response to a local opment be proposed or	s. It recomment the site as well a l issue and may n the land. equired.	nds that a traffic assessm is its effect on through tra	ent be complete affic along Larm	ed to er Avenue.
Development Commit investigate the manag This study is required stage should a develo Recommendation: N	ttee Report and Minutes gement of traffic into th d in response to a local opment be proposed or o further studies are re onsultations, if required :	s. It recomment the site as well a l issue and may n the land. equired.	nds that a traffic assessm is its effect on through tra	ent be complete affic along Larm	ed to er Avenue.
Development Commit investigate the manage This study is required stage should a develop Recommendation: No Identify any internal co No internal consultation	ttee Report and Minutes gement of traffic into th d in response to a local opment be proposed or o further studies are re onsultations, if required :	s. It recomment the site as well a l issue and may n the land. equired.	nds that a traffic assessm is its effect on through tra be more appropriate at t	ent be complete affic along Larm	ed to er Avenue.
Development Commit investigate the manage This study is required stage should a develop Recommendation: No Identify any internal co No internal consultation	ttee Report and Minutes gement of traffic into the d in response to a local opment be proposed or o further studies are re consultations, if required : ion required nding of state infrastruct	s. It recomment the site as well a lissue and may in the land. equired.	nds that a traffic assessm is its effect on through tra be more appropriate at t	ent be complete affic along Larm he development	ed to er Avenue. t assessment
Development Commit investigate the manage This study is required stage should a develop Recommendation: No Identify any internal co No internal consultation Is the provision and fu	ttee Report and Minutes gement of traffic into the d in response to a local opment be proposed or o further studies are re consultations, if required : ion required nding of state infrastruct	s. It recomment the site as well a lissue and may in the land. equired.	nds that a traffic assessm is its effect on through tra v be more appropriate at t this plan? No	ent be complete affic along Larm he development	ed to er Avenue. t assessment
Development Commit investigate the manager This study is required stage should a develop Recommendation: No Identify any internal co No internal consultation Is the provision and fur If Yes, reasons : Cuments	ttee Report and Minutes gement of traffic into the d in response to a local opment be proposed or o further studies are re consultations, if required : ion required nding of state infrastruct	s. It recomment the site as well a lissue and may in the land. equired.	nds that a traffic assessm is its effect on through tra v be more appropriate at t this plan? No	ent be complete affic along Larm he development additional public	ed to er Avenue. t assessment
Development Commit investigate the manage This study is required stage should a develop Recommendation: No Identify any internal co No internal consultation Is the provision and fur If Yes, reasons : Cuments Document File Name 150806 149 & 151 Lar	ttee Report and Minutes gement of traffic into the d in response to a local opment be proposed or o further studies are re onsultations, if required : ion required nding of state infrastruct The planning prop	s. It recomment the site as well a lissue and may in the land. equired. ture relevant to the bosal does not the bosal does not the point letter	this plan? No	ent be complete affic along Larm he development additional public	ed to er Avenue. t assessment infrastructure.
Development Commit investigate the manage This study is required stage should a develop Recommendation: No Identify any internal con- No internal consultation Is the provision and fur If Yes, reasons : Cuments Document File Name 150806 149 & 151 Lar SCC to DoPE gateway	ttee Report and Minutes gement of traffic into the d in response to a local opment be proposed or o further studies are re onsultations, if required : ion required nding of state infrastruct The planning prop	s. It recomment the site as well a lissue and may in the land. equired. ture relevant to the boosal does not in boosal does not in point letter st.pdf	nds that a traffic assessm is its effect on through tra- r be more appropriate at t this plan? No require the provision of a DocumentType Na	ent be complete affic along Larm he development additional public	ed to er Avenue. t assessment infrastructure.
Development Commit investigate the manage This study is required stage should a develop Recommendation: No Identify any internal consultation No internal consultation Is the provision and fur If Yes, reasons : Cuments Document File Name 150806 149 & 151 Lar SCC to DoPE gateway 150806 149 & 151 Lar Planning Proposal.pc	ttee Report and Minutes gement of traffic into the d in response to a local opment be proposed or o further studies are re onsultations, if required : ion required nding of state infrastruct The planning prop	s. It recomment the site as well a lissue and may in the land. equired. ture relevant to f bosal does not in bosal does	this plan? No require the provision of a DocumentType Na Proposal	ent be complete affic along Larm he development additional public	ed to er Avenue. t assessment infrastructure. Is Public Yes Yes
Development Commit investigate the manage This study is required stage should a develop Recommendation: No Identify any internal co No internal consultation Is the provision and fu If Yes, reasons : Cuments Document File Name 150806 149 & 151 Lar SCC to DoPE gateway 150806 149 & 151 Lar Planning Proposal.pc 150806 149 & 151 Lar	ttee Report and Minutes gement of traffic into the d in response to a local opment be proposed or o further studies are re onsultations, if required : ion required Inding of state infrastruct The planning prop The planning prop	s. It recomment the site as well a lissue and may in the land. equired. ture relevant to f posal does not in posal does	this plan? No require the provision of a DocumentType Na Proposal Coverin	ent be complete affic along Larm he development additional public	ed to er Avenue. t assessment infrastructure. Is Public Yes
Development Commit investigate the manage This study is required stage should a develop Recommendation: No Identify any internal co No internal consultation Is the provision and fur If Yes, reasons : Comments Document File Name 150806 149 & 151 Lar SCC to DoPE gatewar 150806 149 & 151 Lar Planning Proposal.pc 150806 149 & 151 Lar Planning Proposal Af Planning Proposal.pc	ttee Report and Minutes gement of traffic into the d in response to a local opment be proposed or o further studies are re- onsultations, if required : ion required nding of state infrastruct The planning prop mer Avenue Sanctuary y determination reques mer Avenue Sanctuary off rmer Avenue Sanctuary ttachment A - Proponer off	s. It recomment the site as well a lissue and may in the land. equired. ture relevant to the bosal does not in bosal does not in point letter st.pdf if Point it's	this plan? No require the provision of a DocumentType Na Proposal Proposal Proposal	ent be complete affic along Larm he development additional public	ed to er Avenue. t assessment Infrastructure. Is Public Yes Yes Yes
Development Commit investigate the manage This study is required stage should a develop Recommendation: Ne Identify any internal co No internal consultation Is the provision and fu If Yes, reasons : Cuments Document File Name 150806 149 & 151 Lar Planning Proposal Afe Planning Proposal Afe	ttee Report and Minutes gement of traffic into the d in response to a local opment be proposed or o further studies are re onsultations, if required : ion required nding of state infrastruct The planning prop mer Avenue Sanctuary y determination reques rmer Avenue Sanctuary off rmer Avenue Sanctuary ttachment A - Proponer	s. It recommente ne site as well a l issue and may in the land. equired. ture relevant to the cosal does not the cosal does not the point letter st.pdf y Point y Point nt's y Point	this plan? No require the provision of a DocumentType Na Proposal	ent be complete affic along Larm he development additional public	ed to er Avenue. t assessment infrastructure. Is Public Yes Yes

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions S.117 directions: **1.1 Business and Industrial Zones** 2.2 Coastal Protection 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies Additional Information : The General Manager, Southern Region, as delegate of the Minister for Planning, determines under section 56(2) of the EP&A Act that an amendment to the Shoalhaven Local Environmental Plan 2014 to zone Lots 1519 and 1520 DP236518, Larmer Avenue, Sanctuary Point B5 Business Development and change relevant planning controls should proceed subject to the following conditions: 1. No further technical studies or reports are required. 2. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows: (a) the planning proposal is to be made publicly available for 28 days; and (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing local environmental plans (Department of Planning and Infrastructure 2012). 3. Consultation is required with the NSW Rural Fire Service under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act to address the s117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection. 4. No public hearing is required to be held into the matter under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example in response to a submission or if reclassifying land). 5. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 6 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination. 6. Council be authorised to use its delegation of the Minister's plan making functions under sections 59(2),(3)&(4) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. 7. The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with the s117 Directions 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones, 2.2 Coastal Protection, 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport and 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies. 8. The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the proposal's inconsistency with the S117 Directions 3.1 Residential Zones, 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils and 4.3 Flood Prone Land is minor in nature. 9. The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with all other relevant s117 Directions or that any inconsistencies are only of minor significance. Supporting Reasons : The proposal will allow additional economic and employment opportunities. The conditions are necessary to ensure that a minor spot rezoning does not become complicated by unnecessary consultation and studies.

Page 7 of 8

Signature:

Tours

Printed Name:

Graham Towers

Date:

8/15

ENDORSE 31/8/15

Brett Whitworth General Manager SOUTHERN REGION